IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO.13734 of 2006

DATE OF DECISION: August 31, 2006

Sukhbir Singh

….Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

…..Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.BHALLA

PRESENT: Shri Bachan Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral).

Notice of motion to the respondents.

On the asking of Court, Shri Sukhdip Singh Brar, Additional Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Copies of the writ petition have been supplied to the learned counsel for the respondents.

The petitioner claims that revised/enhanced extension fee charged from the petitioner is contrary to the law laid down by this Court in Tehal Singh vs. State of Punjab and others CWP No.13648 of 1998, decided on May 4, 1999 and Sant Kaur Jabbi v. State of Punjab and others, CWP No.18986 of 2001, decided on October 31, 2002.

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner and taking into consideration the averments made in the present petition, we dispose of the present petition with a liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed and comprehensive representation making the claim which has been made by him before this Court, by appending all the relevant documents including the copies of the judgments on which reliance has been placed by the petitioner. If any such representation is filed by the petitioner within a period of four weeks from the date a certified copy of this order is received, then the Estate Officer, PUDA, Mohali, respondent No.3 shall take a final decision thereupon within a period of four months thereafter, by passing a detailed and speaking order.

A copy of the order be given dasti on payment of usual charges.

(Viney Mittal)
Judge

August 31,2006
KD

(H.S. Bhalla)
Judge